


## GOP-Trump Tax Framework Would Provide Richest One Percent in Utah with 78.9 Percent of the State's Tax Cuts

The “tax reform framework” released by the Trump administration and congressional Republican leaders on September 27 would not benefit everyone in Utah equally. The richest one percent of Utah residents would receive 78.9 percent of the tax cuts within the state under the framework in 2018. These households are projected to have an income of at least \$545,500 next year. The framework would provide them an average tax cut of \$82,990 in 2018, which would increase their income by an average of 5.3 percent.

The framework would particularly benefit those with incomes greater than \$1 million. These households will make up just 0.3 percent of Utah’s population but would receive 56.2 percent of the tax cuts if the plan was in effect next year. This group would receive an average tax cut of \$210,700 in 2018 alone, which would increase their income by an average of 6.1 percent.


The middle fifth of households in Utah, people who are literally the state’s “middle-class” would not fare as well. Despite being 20 percent of the population, this group would receive just 4.5 percent of the tax cuts that go to Utah under the framework. In 2018 this group is projected to earn between \$44,600 and \$72,300.

**Share of Tax Cuts Going to Each Income Group Under GOP-Trump Framework in 2018 in Utah**



*Source: Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP), October 2017*

### Average Tax Change Under GOP-Trump Tax Framework in 2018 in Utah




*Figures rounded to the nearest ten dollars. Source: Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP), October 2017*

The framework would cut their taxes by an average of \$240, which would increase their income by an average of 0.4 percent.

If the framework was in effect in 2018, 22.2 percent of of Utah households would face a tax hike. Whether a family would pay higher or lower taxes would depend on their circumstances. There are two provisions that reduce the amount of income that is subject to taxes for most families, and the framework increases one of them (the standard deduction) while repealing the other (the personal exemption). Families who itemize their deductions (rather than claiming the standard deduction) may pay higher taxes because the framework repeals most itemized deductions, including the deduction for state and local taxes.

**Average Tax Change Under the GOP-Trump Framework as a Share of Income in 2018 in Utah**



Source: Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP), October 2017

In Utah, more than 45 percent of taxpayers with incomes between \$114,500 and \$227,600 would see a tax hike under the framework. The average taxpayer in this income group would see an average tax hike of \$100. Taken on its own, repeal of the state and local tax deduction would primarily impact higher-income earners. In the context of the overall framework, however, its ultimate impact falls more heavily on families in the middle- and upper-middle portions of the income distribution. This is because while the taxpayers at the very top of the income distribution would initially be impacted by repeal, the tax cuts they receive in return for giving up their deduction are more than enough to offset that impact. The framework is far less generous in offering offsetting tax cuts to middle-income families. It is also important to note that the impacts of repealing the state and local tax deduction are far more sweeping than is often recognized. For the vast majority of taxpayers currently claiming itemized deductions, the loss of the ability to write-off income, sales, and property taxes means that these taxpayers will stop itemizing altogether, and will no longer receive any tax benefits associated with their charitable donations or mortgage interest payments.

The framework would be particularly generous to the wealthy in large part because it would reduce the corporate income tax rate. The bulk of the benefits would go to the owners of corporate stocks and other business assets, a group of overwhelmingly (although not entirely) high-income taxpayers. The framework would also provide a special tax rate of 25 percent for other types of businesses. While it describes this as a tax cut for “small businesses,” most of the benefits would go to the richest one percent of Americans. The wealthiest taxpayers would also benefit greatly from the framework’s reduction in the top personal income tax rate from 39.6 percent to 35 percent and elimination of the estate tax.

These figures have been calculated by the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP). Because the framework leaves many unanswered questions, this analysis required several assumptions that are explained in [ITEP's longer report](#). ITEP generally interprets vague proposals in the framework to match more detailed ones that were included in Donald Trump's presidential campaign or in tax overhaul proposals from Republican leaders in Congress.

The table below provides more detail about the effects of the administration's tax proposals on households at different income levels.

**Impacts of the Trump-GOP Tax Framework in 2018 in Utah**

| Income Group       | Income Range         |           | Avg. Income     | All Taxpayers         |                  |                                 |                     | Taxpayers with a Tax Cut |                  | Taxpayers with a Tax Hike |                 |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|                    |                      |           |                 | Tot. Change (\$1000s) | Avg. Tax Change  | Change as a % of Pre-Tax Income | Share of Tax Change | Sharew/ Tax Cut          | Avg. Cut in \$   | Sharew/ Tax Hike          | Avg. Hike in \$ |
| <b>Poorest 20%</b> | Less than            | \$25,700  | \$15,300        | \$ -20,500            | \$ -80           | -0.5%                           | 1.6%                | 92.5%                    | \$ -100          | 2.8%                      | \$+320          |
| <b>Second 20%</b>  | \$25,700 to          | \$44,600  | 35,900          | -73,200               | -300             | -0.8%                           | 5.6%                | 88.1%                    | \$ -400          | 8.5%                      | \$+610          |
| <b>Middle 20%</b>  | \$44,600 to          | \$72,300  | 57,200          | -58,400               | -240             | -0.4%                           | 4.5%                | 73.6%                    | \$ -610          | 24.7%                     | \$+860          |
| <b>Fourth 20%</b>  | \$72,300 to          | \$114,500 | 90,700          | -41,100               | -170             | -0.2%                           | 3.2%                | 65.5%                    | \$ -1,100        | 33.8%                     | \$+1,640        |
| <b>Next 15%</b>    | \$114,500 to         | \$227,600 | 155,100         | +18,400               | +100             | 0.1%                            | -1.4%               | 54.4%                    | \$ -1,950        | 45.3%                     | \$+2,560        |
| <b>Next 4%</b>     | \$227,600 to         | \$545,500 | 321,900         | -98,600               | -2,000           | -0.6%                           | 7.6%                | 61.1%                    | \$ -5,690        | 38.8%                     | \$+3,830        |
| <b>Richest 1%</b>  | \$545,500 and higher |           | 1,573,600       | -1,022,700            | -82,990          | -5.3%                           | 78.9%               | 89.5%                    | \$ -93,400       | 10.4%                     | \$+5,480        |
| <b>ALL</b>         |                      |           | <b>\$90,900</b> | <b>\$ -1,296,300</b>  | <b>\$ -1,040</b> | <b>-1.1%</b>                    | <b>100.0%</b>       | <b>75.4%</b>             | <b>\$ -1,920</b> | <b>22.2%</b>              | <b>\$+1,800</b> |
| <b>Bottom 60%</b>  | Less than            | \$72,300  | \$36,200        | \$ -152,000           | \$ -210          | -0.6%                           | 11.7%               | 84.7%                    | \$ -350          | 12.0%                     | \$+760          |

The tax proposals included in these figures are the following, which are described in more detail in [ITEP's longer report](#).

- Repeal the Alternative Minimum Tax.
- Repeal personal exemptions and increase the standard deduction.
- Replace current income tax brackets with three brackets, 12 percent, 25 percent, and 35 percent.
- Increase non-refundable child tax credit and new non-refundable credit for other dependents.
- Eliminate all itemized deductions except those for charitable giving and home mortgage interest.
- Repeal the estate tax.
- Special tax rate (25 percent) for businesses that do not pay the corporate income tax.
- Repeal special tax breaks for businesses and reduce the corporate tax rate from 35 percent to 20 percent.
- Allow businesses to write off full costs of investment in the first year.