House Tax Bill Would Put Mortgage Interest Deduction (MID) Out of Reach for Most Households

|  |  | Who receives a MID under House bill? |  |  | Who loses their MID under House bill? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total \% of Tax Returns Receiving MID Under Current Law | Total \% of Tax Returns Receiving MID Under House Bill | \% of Middle-Income (Mid 60\%) Households Receiving MID Under House Bill | \% of High-Income (Top 1\%) Households Receiving MID Under House Bill | \# of Tax Returns No Longer Receiving MID as a Result of House Bill | \% of Current MID <br> Claimants Losing Deduction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of Current Middle- } \\ & \text { Income (Mid 60\%) } \\ & \text { Claimants Losing MID } \\ & \text { Under House Bill } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Current HighIncome (Top 1\%) Claimants Losing MID Under House Bill |
| UNITED STATES | 24\% | 8\% | 4\% | 78\% | -24,783,000 | -68\% | -78\% | -9\% |
| Alabama | 22\% | 4\% | 1\% | 64\% | -419,000 | -84\% | -92\% | -24\% |
| Alaska | 20\% | 5\% | 5\% | 56\% | -52,000 | -74\% | -70\% | -11\% |
| Arizona | 25\% | 5\% | 2\% | 68\% | -598,000 | -79\% | -90\% | -17\% |
| Arkansas | 16\% | 4\% | 2\% | 62\% | -164,000 | -74\% | -81\% | -16\% |
| California | 27\% | 14\% | 8\% | 84\% | -2,197,000 | -47\% | -61\% | -9\% |
| Colorado | 30\% | 9\% | 6\% | 64\% | -578,000 | -69\% | -76\% | -13\% |
| Connecticut | 31\% | 13\% | 9\% | 76\% | -311,000 | -57\% | -65\% | -5\% |
| Delaware | 27\% | 6\% | 3\% | 65\% | -104,000 | -78\% | -84\% | -29\% |
| Dist. of Col. | 23\% | 16\% | 11\% | 84\% | -24,000 | -29\% | -32\% | -1\% |
| Florida | 17\% | 5\% | 2\% | 82\% | -1,300,000 | -70\% | -81\% | -4\% |
| Georgia | 29\% | 7\% | 5\% | 76\% | -1,020,000 | -74\% | -81\% | -8\% |
| Hawaii | 21\% | 9\% | 6\% | 68\% | -86,000 | -56\% | -63\% | -18\% |
| Idaho | 23\% | 4\% | 1\% | 72\% | -148,000 | -82\% | -93\% | -6\% |
| Illinois | 27\% | 8\% | 4\% | 87\% | -1,086,000 | -69\% | -82\% | -2\% |
| Indiana | 21\% | 3\% | 1\% | 73\% | -563,000 | -85\% | -91\% | -17\% |
| lowa | 21\% | 3\% | 1\% | 68\% | -271,000 | -86\% | -96\% | -13\% |
| Kansas | 20\% | 4\% | 1\% | 86\% | -218,000 | -81\% | -92\% | -8\% |
| Kentucky | 20\% | 3\% | 1\% | 59\% | -366,000 | -87\% | -94\% | -24\% |
| Louisiana | 16\% | 2\% | 1\% | 57\% | -281,000 | -85\% | -91\% | -30\% |
| Maine | 21\% | 5\% | 4\% | 70\% | -108,000 | -74\% | -73\% | -20\% |
| Maryland | 34\% | 15\% | 10\% | 86\% | -576,000 | -57\% | -67\% | -11\% |
| Massachusetts | 30\% | 11\% | 7\% | 84\% | -650,000 | -62\% | -71\% | -10\% |
| Michigan | 24\% | 6\% | 3\% | 70\% | -865,000 | -76\% | -82\% | -16\% |
| Minnesota | 30\% | 7\% | 5\% | 85\% | -619,000 | -77\% | -82\% | -5\% |
| Mississippi | 14\% | 5\% | 2\% | 67\% | -136,000 | -68\% | -78\% | -13\% |
| Missouri | 21\% | 4\% | 2\% | 74\% | -506,000 | -81\% | -87\% | -16\% |
| Montana | 19\% | 4\% | 3\% | 58\% | -81,000 | -80\% | -81\% | -25\% |
| Nebraska | 23\% | 3\% | 1\% | 55\% | -180,000 | -86\% | -96\% | -19\% |
| Nevada | 23\% | 8\% | 3\% | 76\% | -213,000 | -66\% | -82\% | -12\% |
| New Hampshire | 28\% | 11\% | 8\% | 84\% | -118,000 | -62\% | -68\% | -10\% |
| New Jersey | 31\% | 15\% | 11\% | 99\% | -702,000 | -52\% | -61\% | -1\% |
| New Mexico | 19\% | 3\% | 1\% | 58\% | -139,000 | -84\% | -93\% | -21\% |
| New York | 21\% | 8\% | 4\% | 81\% | -1,247,000 | -60\% | -70\% | -9\% |
| North Carolina | 24\% | 6\% | 3\% | 78\% | -921,000 | -76\% | -85\% | -11\% |
| North Dakota | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 70\% | -34,000 | -81\% | -91\% | -10\% |
| Ohio | 21\% | 6\% | 5\% | 55\% | -874,000 | -71\% | -69\% | -20\% |
| Oklahoma | 15\% | 3\% | 2\% | 51\% | -217,000 | -82\% | -83\% | -28\% |
| Oregon | 30\% | 7\% | 3\% | 56\% | -487,000 | -78\% | -89\% | -19\% |
| Pennsylvania | 23\% | 5\% | 3\% | 78\% | -1,124,000 | -76\% | -85\% | -10\% |
| Rhode Island | 26\% | 7\% | 4\% | 78\% | -106,000 | -74\% | -80\% | -12\% |
| South Carolina | 20\% | 6\% | 3\% | 67\% | -343,000 | -72\% | -77\% | -16\% |
| South Dakota | 13\% | 2\% | 0\% | 58\% | -46,000 | -86\% | -95\% | -8\% |
| Tennessee | 16\% | 4\% | 2\% | 71\% | -383,000 | -74\% | -78\% | -17\% |
| Texas | 19\% | 5\% | 3\% | 70\% | -1,724,000 | -73\% | -81\% | -10\% |
| Utah | 32\% | 10\% | 6\% | 62\% | -291,000 | -70\% | -82\% | -10\% |
| Vermont | 26\% | 7\% | 4\% | 63\% | -61,000 | -74\% | -84\% | -27\% |
| Virginia | 30\% | 11\% | 6\% | 83\% | -775,000 | -62\% | -76\% | -11\% |
| Washington | 29\% | 10\% | 6\% | 75\% | -694,000 | -66\% | -74\% | -5\% |
| West Virginia | 11\% | 2\% | 1\% | 43\% | -84,000 | -85\% | -87\% | -40\% |
| Wisconsin | 26\% | 4\% | 1\% | 78\% | -645,000 | -86\% | -93\% | -10\% |
| Wyoming | 18\% | 2\% | 1\% | 48\% | -44,000 | -89\% | -96\% | -8\% |

Source: Institute on Taxation and Econonomic Policy Microsimulation Tax Model, December 2017. Data reflect impact in Tax Year 2019.

Senate Tax Bill Would Put Mortgage Interest Deduction (MID) Out of Reach for Most Households

|  | Total \% of Tax Returns Receiving MID Under Current Law | Who receives a MID under Senate bill? |  |  | Who loses their MID under Senate bill? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \% of Tax Returns Receiving MID Under Senate Bill | \% of Middle-Income (Mid 60\%) Households Receiving MID Under Senate Bill | \% of High-Income (Top 1\%) Households Receiving MID Under Senate Bill | \# of Tax Returns No Longer Receiving MID as a Result of Senate Bill | \% of Current MID Claimants Losing Deduction | \% of Current MiddleIncome (Mid 60\%) Claimants Losing MID Under Senate Bill | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of Current High- } \\ & \text { Income (Top 1\%) } \\ & \text { Claimants Losing MID } \\ & \text { Under Senate Bill } \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 24\% | 8\% | 4\% | 78\% | -24,364,000 | -67\% | -76\% | -9\% |
| Alabama | 22\% | 4\% | 1\% | 64\% | -416,000 | -83\% | -92\% | -24\% |
| Alaska | 20\% | 5\% | 5\% | 56\% | -52,000 | -74\% | -70\% | -11\% |
| Arizona | 25\% | 5\% | 2\% | 66\% | -601,000 | -80\% | -90\% | -19\% |
| Arkansas | 16\% | 4\% | 2\% | 62\% | -164,000 | -74\% | -80\% | -16\% |
| California | 27\% | 14\% | 8\% | 84\% | -2,179,000 | -46\% | -60\% | -.9\% |
| Colorado | 30\% | 11\% | 10\% | 63\% | -528,000 | -63\% | -64\% | -14\% |
| Connecticut | 31\% | 12\% | 9\% | 76\% | -332,000 | -61\% | -67\% | -5\% |
| Delaware | 27\% | 6\% | 3\% | 66\% | -103,000 | -78\% | -84\% | -29\% |
| Dist. of Col. | 23\% | 16\% | 11\% | 84\% | -23,000 | -28\% | -30\% | -1\% |
| Florida | 17\% | 6\% | 3\% | 82\% | -1,226,000 | -66\% | -74\% | .4\% |
| Georgia | 29\% | 8\% | 5\% | 76\% | -1,002,000 | -73\% | -78\% | -8\% |
| Hawaii | 21\% | 10\% | 7\% | 68\% | -84,000 | -55\% | -59\% | -18\% |
| Idaho | 23\% | 4\% | 2\% | 72\% | -144,000 | -80\% | -91\% | -6\% |
| Illinois | 27\% | 8\% | 4\% | 87\% | -1,072,000 | -68\% | -81\% | -2\% |
| Indiana | 21\% | 3\% | 2\% | 72\% | -557,000 | -84\% | -90\% | -17\% |
| lowa | 21\% | 3\% | 1\% | 68\% | -272,000 | -87\% | -95\% | -13\% |
| Kansas | 20\% | 4\% | 1\% | 86\% | -219,000 | -81\% | -91\% | -8\% |
| Kentucky | 20\% | 3\% | 1\% | 59\% | -365,000 | -87\% | -93\% | -24\% |
| Louisiana | 16\% | 4\% | 3\% | 57\% | -252,000 | -76\% | -72\% | -30\% |
| Maine | 21\% | 6\% | 5\% | 66\% | -106,000 | -73\% | -66\% | -25\% |
| Maryland | 34\% | 15\% | 11\% | 86\% | -565,000 | -56\% | -65\% | -11\% |
| Massachusetts | 30\% | 11\% | 7\% | 83\% | -658,000 | -63\% | -71\% | -10\% |
| Michigan | 24\% | 6\% | 4\% | 69\% | -862,000 | -76\% | -80\% | -17\% |
| Minnesota | 30\% | 6\% | 4\% | 85\% | -627,000 | -78\% | -83\% | -5\% |
| Mississippi | 14\% | 5\% | 3\% | 66\% | -125,000 | -63\% | -70\% | -14\% |
| Missouri | 21\% | 4\% | 2\% | 71\% | -500,000 | -80\% | -85\% | -19\% |
| Montana | 19\% | 4\% | 3\% | 58\% | -81,000 | -80\% | -81\% | -25\% |
| Nebraska | 23\% | 3\% | 1\% | 54\% | -181,000 | -86\% | -96\% | -20\% |
| Nevada | 23\% | 9\% | 5\% | 76\% | -201,000 | -63\% | -72\% | -12\% |
| New Hampshire | 28\% | 10\% | 7\% | 84\% | -122,000 | -63\% | -70\% | -10\% |
| New Jersey | 31\% | 16\% | 12\% | 99\% | -666,000 | -50\% | -57\% | -1\% |
| New Mexico | 19\% | 4\% | 2\% | 58\% | -131,000 | -80\% | -82\% | -21\% |
| New York | 21\% | 9\% | 5\% | 81\% | -1,229,000 | -59\% | -69\% | -9\% |
| North Carolina | 24\% | 6\% | 3\% | 78\% | -924,000 | -76\% | -86\% | -11\% |
| North Dakota | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 70\% | -34,000 | -80\% | -88\% | -10\% |
| Ohio | 21\% | 6\% | 5\% | 55\% | -862,000 | -70\% | -66\% | -20\% |
| Oklahoma | 15\% | 3\% | 2\% | 51\% | -216,000 | -81\% | -82\% | -28\% |
| Oregon | 30\% | 7\% | 4\% | 57\% | -478,000 | -76\% | -87\% | -17\% |
| Pennsylvania | 23\% | 6\% | 3\% | 78\% | -1,109,000 | -75\% | -83\% | -10\% |
| Rhode Island | 26\% | 7\% | 6\% | 75\% | -103,000 | -72\% | -70\% | -15\% |
| South Carolina | 20\% | 5\% | 3\% | 65\% | -352,000 | -74\% | -76\% | -18\% |
| South Dakota | 13\% | 2\% | 0\% | 58\% | -45,000 | -84\% | -95\% | -8\% |
| Tennessee | 16\% | 5\% | 3\% | 71\% | -366,000 | -71\% | -70\% | -17\% |
| Texas | 19\% | 5\% | 3\% | 70\% | -1,665,000 | -71\% | -76\% | -10\% |
| Utah | 32\% | 12\% | 8\% | 62\% | -267,000 | -64\% | -73\% | -10\% |
| Vermont | 26\% | 7\% | 5\% | 63\% | -59,000 | -72\% | -80\% | -27\% |
| Virginia | 30\% | 11\% | 6\% | 83\% | -789,000 | -63\% | -77\% | -12\% |
| Washington | 29\% | 10\% | 7\% | 75\% | -690,000 | -66\% | -73\% | -5\% |
| West Virginia | 11\% | 2\% | 1\% | 42\% | -83,000 | -84\% | -86\% | -43\% |
| Wisconsin | 26\% | 4\% | 2\% | 78\% | -642,000 | -86\% | -92\% | -10\% |
| Wyoming | 18\% | 5\% | 5\% | 48\% | -36,000 | -73\% | -72\% | -8\% |

Source: Institute on Taxation and Econonomic Policy Microsimulation Tax Model, December 2017. Data reflect impact in Tax Year 2019.

